

©

Government of Kerala
കേരള സർക്കാർ
2012



Reg. No. റജി. നമ്പർ
KL/TV(N)/12/12-14

KERALA GAZETTE

കേരള ശസ്ത്ര

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

ആധികാരികമായി പ്രസിദ്ധീകരിച്ചതുന്ത്

Vol. LVII
വാല്യം 57

THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, TUESDAY
തിരുവനന്തപുരം, ചോദ്യ

22nd May 2012
2012 മേ 22
1st Jyaishtha 1934
1934 ജൂൺ 1

No. നമ്പർ
21

PART I

Notifications and Orders issued by the Government

Labour and Rehabilitation Department Labour and Rehabilitation (A)

ORDERS

(1)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 538/2012/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 10th April 2012.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Secretary, Kasargod Co-operative Marketing and Processing Society Ltd., F. F. 104, Vidya Nagar P. O., Kasargod-671 123 and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri T. Narayanan, S/o Raman, Puthiyakandam (Padinjareppara), Kuttikkol P. O., Via. Chengala, Kasargod-671 541 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct

that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kannur. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Sri T. Narayanan, Night Watchman by the management of Kasargod Co-operative Marketing and Processing Society Ltd., Vidyanagar, Kasargod is justifiable ? If not, what relief he is entitled to?

(2)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 540/2012/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 10th April 2012.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the President, Thrissur Town Co-operative Credit Society Ltd. No. 32, Patturaykkal, Thrissur and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri K. Raveendran, Krishnasmara, Income Tax Colony, Thiruvambadi Road, Poonkunnam, Thissur-2 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. Whether the dismissal of Sri K. Raveendran, Junior Clerk/Cashier, "Krishna Smarana" Income Tax Colony, Thiruvambadi Road, Poonkunnam, Thrissur-2 by the management of Thrissur Town Co-operative Credit Society Ltd. No. 32, Paturaikkal, Thrissur is justifiable?
2. If not, what are the reliefs he is entitled to?

(3)

G . O. (Rt.) No. 541/2012/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 10th April 2012.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Sri Mohandas, C., Kalyani Engineering Works, 9/201, Koayambathoor Road, Chandra Nagar, Palakkad and the woman of the above referred establishment Sri P. Subhash, S/o Prabhakaran, Okarappallam Veedu, Thenari, Elappulli II Village, Palakkad in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. Whether there is denial of employment to Sri P. Subhash by the management of M/s Kalyani Engineering Works, Palakkad?
2. If yes, what reliefs he is entitled to?

(4)

G . O. (Rt.) No. 542/2012/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 10th April 2012.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Dr. M. A. Abdulla, Medical Superintendent, Chest Hospital, Pavamani Road, Kozhikode-4 and the workmen of the above referred

establishment represented by the General Secretary, Kozhikode District Private Hospital and Medical Shop Workers Union (CITU), CITU District Centre, S. K. Temple Road, Kozhikode in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kozhikode. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the decision of the management of Chest Hospital, Kozhikode to superannuate its employees including Smt. Mallika, Sweeper of Chest Hospital at the age of 55 is justifiable? If not, what relief the workers are entitled to?

(5)

G . O. (Rt.) No. 546/2012/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 10th April 2012.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Manager, Manalaroo Estate, Padagiri P. O., Nelliampathy and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri K. Jyothi, worker, Manalaroo Estate, Padagiri, Nelliampathy in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. Whether there is denial of employment to Sri Jyothi, Electrician by the managements, Nelliampathy Tea and Produce Company Ltd., Manalaroo Estate?
2. If so, for what relief he is entitled to?

(6)

G . O. (Rt.) No. 547/2012/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 10th April 2012.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Managing Director, Alukkas Tourist Home, Railway Station Road, Thrissur-1 and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the President, Lodge and Hotel Masdoor Sangh (BMS), Shornur Road, Thrissur in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. Whether the dismissal of Sri K. V. Sudheesh, Kaniyamparambil House, Manalur P. O., Thrissur District by the management of Alukkas Tourist Home, Railway Station Road, Thrissur is justifiable?
2. If not, what reliefs he is entitled to?

(7)

G . O. (Rt.) No. 548/2012/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 10th April 2012.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between (1) the Hostel Steward, AWH Student Hostel, Poovattuparamb P. O., Kozhikode (2) The Principal, AWH Engineering College, Kuttikattoor, Kozhikode (3) The Receiver, AWH, M Square Complex, Pavamani Road, Kozhikode and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, General Workers Union (INTUC), West Nadakkav, Kozhikode-11 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kozhikode. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Sri S. Muhammed Haneef, Madhavan Nair and Sri P. Shaju by the management of AWH Engineering College, Kuttikattoor, Kozhikode is justifiable? If not, what relief they are entitled to get?

(8)

G . O. (Rt.) No. 549/2012/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 10th April 2012.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between (1) Sri Balan Nair, Thottathil Veedu, Koothali P. O., Perambra, Kozhikode (2) Sri Appa Nair, Thottathil Veedu, Koothali P. O., Perambra, Kozhikode (3) Smt. Janki Amma, Thottathil Veedu, Koothali P. O., Perambra, Kozhikode and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the Sri. Edachery Dasan, District Secretary, Kerala State Estate and Plantation Workers Union (TUCI) Kozhikode District Committee, (Residents : Koyiloth Meethal (H), Puthoor P. O., Vadakara) in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kozhikode. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Sri O. C. Gangadharan, Tapper by the management of Rubber Estate in Koothali, Perambra, Kozhikode is justifiable? If not, what relief he is entitled to?

(9)

G . O. (Rt.) No. 552/2012/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 10th April 2012.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between (1) The Director, AWH Development Centre, Stadium Junction, Puthiyara Road, Kozhikode (2) The Receiver, AWHM Squire Complex, Pavamani Road, Kozhikode-1 and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by Smt. M. Shafila, Mandidukil House, Paropady, Marikkunnu, Calicut-12 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kozhikode. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether there is denial of employment to Smt. Shafila, M., Mandidukil House, Paropady, Marikkunnu, Calicut-12 by the management of AWH Development Centre, Kozhikode is justifiable? If not, what relief he is entitled to?

(10)

G . O. (Rt.) No. 624/2012/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 25th April 2012.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Managing Director, Kerala Water Authority, Jala Bhavan, Thiruvananthapuram-695 033 and the workmen of the above referred establishment (1) Sri R. Biju, S/o R. Ramachandran Nadar, Karinjalivila Roadarikath Veedu, Kottukal P. O., Balaramapuram (via) Thiruvananthapuram (2) Sri S. Rajan, S/o Sadasivan Nair, Nalumukku, Vellanad P. O., Thiruvananthapuram (3) Sri M. Gopakumaran Nair, S/o Madhavan Pillai, Kanjiram Para Veedu, Neduman, Kallayam P. O., Thiruvananthapuram in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the termination of service of S/Sri R. Biju, S. Rajan, M. Gopakumaran Nair, Daily Waged employees of Kerala Water Authority by the management is justifiable? If not, what are the relief entitled to them?

(11)

G . O. (Rt.) No. 628/2012/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 26th April 2012.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Sri K. Jayakumar, S/o Krishana Pillai, Modern Wood Industries, Palode, Kushavoor (Jn.), Palode and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri Viswanathan Pillai, Vadakkumkara Puthan Veedu, Karamankode P. O., Palode in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Sri Viswanathan Pillai by the management of Modern Wood Industries is justifiable? If not, what are the relief he is entitled to?

(12)

G . O. (Rt.) No. 654/2012/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 30th April 2012.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Dr. Unnikrishnan Kavirajan, Agastya Heritage Ayurvedic Centre, Near Green Valley Cottage, Kovalam, Thiruvananthapuram and the workman of the above referred establishment Smt. Sobhana, S., Vimal Bhavan, Koliyoor, Muttaykad P. O. Thiruvananthapuram in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the termination of service of Smt. Sobhana, Panchakarma Therapist of Agastya Heritage Ayurvedic Centre, Kovalam by the management is justifiable? If not, what are the reliefs entitled to her?

(13)

G . O. (Rt.) No. 655/2012/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 30th April 2012.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Manager, Markanda Estate, 63rd Mile, Vandiperiyar, Idukki District-685 533 and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the Secretary, Peermade Thottam Thozhilai Union (CITU), P. R. Centre, Vandiperiyar, Pin-685 533, Idukki District in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki (Peermade). The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. Whether the denial of employment to Smt. Krishnamma, Sri. Anandan Subramani, Smt. Mariyamma, Smt. Ammini, Smt. Rohini, permanent workers of Markanda Estate, Vandiperiyar by the management is justifiable?
2. If not, what relief the workmen is entitled to?

By order of the Governor,

A. JUMAILA BEEVI,

Under Secretary to Government.
